


Parity criterion for unstabilized Heegaard splittings

Jung Hoon Lee

Korea Institute for Advanced Study

December 16, 2009


- **handlebody**



A handlebody can be obtained from a 3-ball by attaching 1-handles.

- **Heegaard splitting**


A **Heegaard splitting** $M = H_1 \cup_S H_2$ of a closed 3-manifold M is a decomposition of M into two handlebodies H_1 and H_2 .
($S = \partial H_1 = \partial H_2$)



Every compact 3-manifold admits Heegaard splittings.

- **stabilization**


Add a trivial 1-handle to H_1 and remove it from H_2 .



This results in a new Heegaard splitting $H'_1 \cup_{S'} H'_2$ with genus increased by one.

Equivalently,

A Heegaard splitting $H_1 \cup_S H_2$ obtained by a stabilization has essential disks $D_1 \subset H_1$ and $D_2 \subset H_2$ with $|D_1 \cap D_2| = 1$.




Example

[Waldhausen] Any positive genus Heegaard splitting of S^3 is stabilized.

We are interested in Heegaard splittings which are not stabilized.
(unstabilized Heegaard splittings.)


For a genus $g \geq 2$ handlebody H ,

a collection of essential disks $\{D_1, \dots, D_g\}$ in H is called a **complete meridian disk system** if the result of cutting H along $\bigcup_{i=1}^g D_i$ is a 3-ball.



We say that

a collection of mutually disjoint essential disks $\{D_1, \dots, D_{3g-3}\}$ in H gives a **pants decomposition** if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{3g-3} \partial D_i$ cuts ∂H into a collection of $2g - 2$ pair of pants.



Theorem A


Let $M = H_1 \cup_S H_2$ be a genus $g \geq 2$ Heegaard splitting of a 3-manifold M and $\{D_1, \dots, D_g\}$ and $\{E_1, \dots, E_g\}$ be **complete meridian disk systems** of H_1 and H_2 , respectively.

If $|D_i \cap E_j| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all the pairs (i, j) , then $H_1 \cup_S H_2$ is **unstabilized**.

Lemma

Suppose that $\{D_1, \dots, D_g\}$ and $\{E_1, \dots, E_g\}$ satisfy that $|D_i \cap E_j| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq g$.

Then there exist **pants decomposition** $\{D_1, \dots, D_g, D_{g+1}, \dots, D_{3g-3}\}$ of H_1 and $\{E_1, \dots, E_g, E_{g+1}, \dots, E_{3g-3}\}$ of H_2 such that $|D_i \cap E_j| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 3g - 3$.



Theorem A'


Let $M = H_1 \cup_S H_2$ be a genus $g \geq 2$ Heegaard splitting of a 3-manifold M and $\{D_1, \dots, D_{3g-3}\}$ and $\{E_1, \dots, E_{3g-3}\}$ give **pants decomposition** of H_1 and H_2 , respectively.

If $|D_i \cap E_j| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 3g - 3$, then $H_1 \cup_S H_2$ is **unstabilized**.

Sketch of proof)

Suppose it is stabilized. Then there exists disks D in H_1 and E in H_2 such that $|D \cap E| = 1$.

Cut D by $\bigcup_{i=1}^{3g-3} D_i$ into subdisks and connect endpoints of arcs in S as in the Figure. Do the same for E with $\bigcup_{j=1}^{3g-3} E_j$. Then the parity of number of intersections of new curves is not changed.




Now $|\partial D \cap \partial E|$ is equivalent to $\sum |\partial D_i \cap \partial E_j|$ in (mod 2) and by the hypothesis of Theorem A', it is 0 (mod 2).

This contradicts that $|D \cap E| = 1$.


- **Double (2-fold) branched covering**

Let L be an n -bridge link in S^3 .


Let $\{a_i\}$ be a collection of bridge arcs and Δ_i 's be the corresponding disks in a 3-ball B_1 in an n -bridge presentation of L .



Cut B_1 along $\cup \Delta_i$ to get \bar{B}_1 .



Double \bar{B}_1^3 along Δ_i 's.



\implies We obtain a genus $n - 1$ Heegaard splitting of the 2-fold branched cover of S^3 over L

- **application**

- **Theorem**

Let L be an n -component, n -bridge link in S^3 .

Then the induced Heegaard splitting of the 2-fold branched cover of S^3 over L is unstabilized.

Remark There are examples (**e.g.** (among) torus knots) such that the Heegaard splitting for the 2-fold cover induced from minimal bridge presentation is stabilized.