

# Twisted Alexander polynomials of hyperbolic knots

Stefan Friedl  
joint with N. Dunfield, N. Jackson and S. Vidussi

Seoul 2009

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

(1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

- (1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

- (1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

(1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,

(2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,

(3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

In fact any polynomial satisfying (1), (2) and (3) appears as the Alexander polynomial of a knot  $K$ .

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

(1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,

(2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,

(3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

(1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,

(2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,

(3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  also contains topological information:



# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

(1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,

(2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,

(3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  also contains topological information:

(4)  $\Delta_K(t)$  does not depend on the orientation,

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

- (1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  also contains topological information:

- (4)  $\Delta_K(t)$  does not depend on the orientation,
- (5)  $\Delta_{K^*}(t) = \Delta_K(t)$  where  $K^*$  is the mirror image,

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

- (1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  also contains topological information:

- (4)  $\Delta_K(t)$  does not depend on the orientation,
- (5)  $\Delta_{K^*}(t) = \Delta_K(t)$  where  $K^*$  is the mirror image,
- (6)  $\Delta_K(t)$  is invariant under mutation,

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

- (1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  also contains topological information:

- (4)  $\Delta_K(t)$  does not depend on the orientation,
- (5)  $\Delta_{K^*}(t) = \Delta_K(t)$  where  $K^*$  is the mirror image,
- (6)  $\Delta_K(t)$  is invariant under mutation,
- (7)  $\deg(\Delta_K(t)) \leq 2 \text{genus of } K$ ,

# The classical Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$ . The symmetrized classical Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  has the following formal properties:

- (1)  $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ .

Note that (1), (2) and (3) also imply

(2')  $\Delta_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any prime power root of unity  $\xi$ .

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  also contains topological information:

- (4)  $\Delta_K(t)$  does not depend on the orientation,
- (5)  $\Delta_{K^*}(t) = \Delta_K(t)$  where  $K^*$  is the mirror image,
- (6)  $\Delta_K(t)$  is invariant under mutation,
- (7)  $\deg(\Delta_K(t)) \leq 2\text{genus of } K$ ,
- (8) if  $K$  is fibered, then  $\Delta_K(t)$  is monic.

# The classical Alexander polynomial and Fox calculus

Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ . Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism.

# The classical Alexander polynomial and Fox calculus

Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ . Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{t-1} = \frac{\det(\phi(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_l} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{\phi(g_i) - 1}.$$

# The classical Alexander polynomial and Fox calculus

Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ . Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{t-1} = \frac{\det(\phi(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_l} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{\phi(g_i) - 1}.$$

Note that the formula on the right hand side really computes the Reidemeister torsion of  $C_*(S^3 \setminus K, \mathbb{Q}(t))$ .



# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation.

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism.

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation.

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then we *define*

$$\tau(K, \alpha) := \frac{\det((\alpha \otimes \phi)(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_i} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{(\alpha \otimes \phi)(g_i) - 1}.$$

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then we *define*

$$\tau(K, \alpha) := \frac{\det((\alpha \otimes \phi)(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_i} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{(\alpha \otimes \phi)(g_i) - 1}.$$

This invariant is sometimes referred to as:

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then we *define*

$$\tau(K, \alpha) := \frac{\det((\alpha \otimes \phi)(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_i} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{(\alpha \otimes \phi)(g_i) - 1}.$$

This invariant is sometimes referred to as:

(1) twisted Alexander polynomial,

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then we *define*

$$\tau(K, \alpha) := \frac{\det((\alpha \otimes \phi)(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_i} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{(\alpha \otimes \phi)(g_i) - 1}.$$

This invariant is sometimes referred to as:

- (1) twisted Alexander polynomial,
- (2) Wada's invariant,

# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then we *define*

$$\tau(K, \alpha) := \frac{\det((\alpha \otimes \phi)(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_i} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{(\alpha \otimes \phi)(g_i) - 1}.$$

This invariant is sometimes referred to as:

- (1) twisted Alexander polynomial,
- (2) Wada's invariant,
- (3) twisted Reidemeister torsion.



# The twisted Alexander polynomial

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  a representation. Denote by  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$  the epimorphism. Let

$$\pi = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

be a presentation. Pick  $i$  with  $\phi(g_i) \neq 0$ , then we *define*

$$\tau(K, \alpha) := \frac{\det((\alpha \otimes \phi)(\text{matrix } \left( \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial g_i} \right) \text{ with } i\text{-th column removed}))}{(\alpha \otimes \phi)(g_i) - 1}.$$

This invariant is sometimes referred to as:

- (1) twisted Alexander polynomial,
- (2) Wada's invariant,
- (3) twisted Reidemeister torsion.

**Theorem.** (Wada, Goda-Kitano-Morifuji) If  $\alpha$  is an even dimensional representation, then  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is well-defined up to multiplication by  $t^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

# Hyperbolic knots

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be an oriented hyperbolic knot. We denote by  $\mu, \lambda$  its meridian and longitude. There exists a discrete and faithful repr.

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

# Hyperbolic knots

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be an oriented hyperbolic knot. We denote by  $\mu, \lambda$  its meridian and longitude. There exists a discrete and faithful repr.

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which is unique up to conjugation if we demand that

$$\alpha(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a$  with  $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$ .

# Hyperbolic knots

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be an oriented hyperbolic knot. We denote by  $\mu, \lambda$  its meridian and longitude. There exists a discrete and faithful repr.

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which is unique up to conjugation if we demand that

$$\alpha(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a$  with  $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$ .

**Theorem.** (1)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is well-defined up to multiplication by  $t^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Wada, Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)

# Hyperbolic knots

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be an oriented hyperbolic knot. We denote by  $\mu, \lambda$  its meridian and longitude. There exists a discrete and faithful repr.

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which is unique up to conjugation if we demand that

$$\alpha(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a$  with  $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$ .

**Theorem.** (1)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is well-defined up to multiplication by  $t^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Wada, Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)

(2)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is palindromic, i.e.  $\tau(K, \alpha)(t^{-1}) = \pm t^k \tau(K, \alpha)$   
(Hillman-Silver-Williams)

# Hyperbolic knots

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be an oriented hyperbolic knot. We denote by  $\mu, \lambda$  its meridian and longitude. There exists a discrete and faithful repr.

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which is unique up to conjugation if we demand that

$$\alpha(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a$  with  $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$ .

**Theorem.** (1)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is well-defined up to multiplication by  $t^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Wada, Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)

(2)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is palindromic, i.e.  $\tau(K, \alpha)(t^{-1}) = \pm t^k \tau(K, \alpha)$   
(Hillman-Silver-Williams)

(3)  $\tau(K, \alpha)(t = 1)$  is non-zero (Menal-Ferrer and Porti)

# Hyperbolic knots

Let  $K \subset S^3$  be an oriented hyperbolic knot. We denote by  $\mu, \lambda$  its meridian and longitude. There exists a discrete and faithful repr.

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which is unique up to conjugation if we demand that

$$\alpha(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a$  with  $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$ .

**Theorem.** (1)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is well-defined up to multiplication by  $t^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Wada, Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)

(2)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is palindromic, i.e.  $\tau(K, \alpha)(t^{-1}) = \pm t^k \tau(K, \alpha)$   
(Hillman-Silver-Williams)

(3)  $\tau(K, \alpha)(t = 1)$  is non-zero (Menal-Ferrer and Porti)

(4)  $\tau(K, \alpha)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$  of even degree.

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$



# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

**Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

**Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

**Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(follows from results of Menal-Ferrer and Porti)

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,  
(4)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  does *not* depend on the orientation of  $K$ ,

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,  
(4)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  does *not* depend on the orientation of  $K$ ,  
(5)  $\mathcal{T}_{K^*}(t) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_K(t)}$ ,

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,  
(4)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  does *not* depend on the orientation of  $K$ ,  
(5)  $\mathcal{T}_{K^*}(t) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_K(t)}$ , in particular if  $K$  is amphichiral, then  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a real polynomial.

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,  
(4)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  does *not* depend on the orientation of  $K$ ,  
(5)  $\mathcal{T}_{K^*}(t) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_K(t)}$ , in particular if  $K$  is amphichiral, then  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a real polynomial.

**Example.**  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Figure 8 knot}}(t) = t - 4 + t^{-1}$ .



# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,  
(4)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  does *not* depend on the orientation of  $K$ ,  
(5)  $\mathcal{T}_{K^*}(t) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_K(t)}$ , in particular if  $K$  is amphichiral, then  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a real polynomial.

**Example.**  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Figure 8 knot}}(t) = t - 4 + t^{-1}$ .

**Remark.** If  $K$  is a hyperbolic knot with at most thirteen crossings, then  $K$  is amphichiral if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a real polynomial.

# The invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$

In light of the previous theorem we can now define

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) := \text{symmetrization of } \tau(K, \alpha).$$

- Theorem.** (1)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a well-defined polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ,  
(2)  $\mathcal{T}_K(1) \neq 0$ , in fact  $\mathcal{T}_K(\xi) \neq 0$  for any root of unity  $\xi$ ,  
(3)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_K(t)$ ,  
(4)  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  does *not* depend on the orientation of  $K$ ,  
(5)  $\mathcal{T}_{K^*}(t) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_K(t)}$ , in particular if  $K$  is amphichiral, then  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a real polynomial.

**Example.**  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Figure 8 knot}}(t) = t - 4 + t^{-1}$ .

**Remark.** If  $K$  is a hyperbolic knot with at most thirteen crossings, then  $K$  is amphichiral if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is a real polynomial.

**Remark.** If  $K$  is an amphichiral hyperbolic knot with at most thirteen crossings, then the top coefficient of  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is at least one.

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial.

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx & (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 \\ & + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}. \end{aligned}$$

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}.$$

For the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot we calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{KT}}(t) \approx (4.418 + 0.376i)t^3 + (-22.942 - 4.845i)t^2 + \dots + (-22.926 - 4.845i)t^{-2} + (4.418 + 0.376i)t^{-3}.$$

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}.$$

For the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot we calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{KT}(t) \approx (4.418 + 0.376i)t^3 + (-22.942 - 4.845i)t^2 + \dots + (-22.926 - 4.845i)t^{-2} + (4.418 + 0.376i)t^{-3}.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects mutation.

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}.$$

For the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot we calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{KT}(t) \approx (4.418 + 0.376i)t^3 + (-22.942 - 4.845i)t^2 + \dots + (-22.926 - 4.845i)t^{-2} + (4.418 + 0.376i)t^{-3}.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects mutation. The evaluations  $\mathcal{T}_K(1)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_K(-1)$  conjecturally do *not* detect mutation. E.g. for both knots evaluation at  $t = 1$  gives  $4.1860 - 4.2286i$

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}.$$

For the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot we calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{KT}}(t) \approx (4.418 + 0.376i)t^3 + (-22.942 - 4.845i)t^2 + \dots + (-22.926 - 4.845i)t^{-2} + (4.418 + 0.376i)t^{-3}.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects mutation. The evaluations  $\mathcal{T}_K(1)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_K(-1)$  conjecturally do *not* detect mutation. E.g. for both knots evaluation at  $t = 1$  gives  $4.1860 - 4.2286i$  and for  $t = -1$  we get  $261.34 + 102.13i$ .



# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}.$$

For the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot we calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{KT}(t) \approx (4.418 + 0.376i)t^3 + (-22.942 - 4.845i)t^2 + \dots + (-22.926 - 4.845i)t^{-2} + (4.418 + 0.376i)t^{-3}.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects mutation. The evaluations  $\mathcal{T}_K(1)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_K(-1)$  conjecturally do *not* detect mutation. E.g. for both knots evaluation at  $t = 1$  gives  $4.1860 - 4.2286i$  and for  $t = -1$  we get  $261.34 + 102.13i$ .

**Questions.** (1) Is  $|\mathcal{T}_K(1)|$  always less than  $|\mathcal{T}_K(-1)|$ ?

# The Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot

The Conway knot and the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot are mutant knots with trivial Alexander polynomial. We calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t) \approx (4.895 - 0.099i)t^5 + (-15.686 + 0.297i)t^4 + \dots + (-15.686 + 0.298i)t^{-4} + (4.895 - 0.099i)t^{-5}.$$

For the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot we calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{KT}}(t) \approx (4.418 + 0.376i)t^3 + (-22.942 - 4.845i)t^2 + \dots + (-22.926 - 4.845i)t^{-2} + (4.418 + 0.376i)t^{-3}.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects mutation. The evaluations  $\mathcal{T}_K(1)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_K(-1)$  conjecturally do *not* detect mutation. E.g. for both knots evaluation at  $t = 1$  gives  $4.1860 - 4.2286i$  and for  $t = -1$  we get  $261.34 + 102.13i$ .

- Questions.** (1) Is  $|\mathcal{T}_K(1)|$  always less than  $|\mathcal{T}_K(-1)|$ ?  
(2) Does  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  distinguish hyperbolic knots?

Added after talk: It does not, there are mutants with same  $\mathcal{T}_K$ .

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

**Theorem (F-Taehee Kim)** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) \leq 2x(K).$$

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

**Theorem (F-Taehee Kim)** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) \leq 2x(K).$$

E.g. if  $K$  is the Conway knot, then the genus is three and we calculated

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t)) = 10.$$

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

**Theorem (F-Taehee Kim)** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) \leq 2x(K).$$

E.g. if  $K$  is the Conway knot, then the genus is three and we calculated

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t)) = 10.$$

Similarly the genus of the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot is two and

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Kinoshita-Terasaka}}(t)) = 6.$$

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

**Theorem (F-Taehee Kim)** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) \leq 2x(K).$$

E.g. if  $K$  is the Conway knot, then the genus is three and we calculated

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t)) = 10.$$

Similarly the genus of the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot is two and

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Kinoshita-Terasaka}}(t)) = 6.$$

We show that  $\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K)$  for all knots with at most thirteen crossings.

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

**Theorem (F-Taehee Kim)** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) \leq 2x(K).$$

E.g. if  $K$  is the Conway knot, then the genus is three and we calculated

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t)) = 10.$$

Similarly the genus of the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot is two and

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Kinoshita-Terasaka}}(t)) = 6.$$

We show that  $\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K)$  for all knots with at most thirteen crossings. The genera of all thirteen crossings were also independently determined by Stoimenow.



# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and the knot genus

We now write  $x(K) = 2\text{genus}(K) - 1$ .

**Theorem (F-Taehee Kim)** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) \leq 2x(K).$$

E.g. if  $K$  is the Conway knot, then the genus is three and we calculated

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Conway}}(t)) = 10.$$

Similarly the genus of the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot is two and

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\text{Kinoshita-Terasaka}}(t)) = 6.$$

We show that  $\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K)$  for all knots with at most thirteen crossings. The genera of all thirteen crossings were also independently determined by Stoimenow.

**Conjecture.** For any hyperbolic knot  $K$  we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K).$$

**Theorem (Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)** If  $K$  is hyperbolic and fibered, then

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) \text{ is monic and } \deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K).$$

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and fibered knots

**Theorem (Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)** If  $K$  is hyperbolic and fibered, then

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) \text{ is monic and } \deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K).$$

We show that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects all fibered knots with at most thirteen crossings.

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and fibered knots

**Theorem (Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)** If  $K$  is hyperbolic and fibered, then

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) \text{ is monic and } \deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K).$$

We show that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects all fibered knots with at most thirteen crossings.

**Conjecture.** A hyperbolic knot  $K$  is fibered if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is monic and if

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K)$$

holds.

# $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and fibered knots

**Theorem (Goda-Kitano-Morifuji)** If  $K$  is hyperbolic and fibered, then

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) \text{ is monic and } \deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K).$$

We show that  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  detects all fibered knots with at most thirteen crossings.

**Conjecture.** A hyperbolic knot  $K$  is fibered if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$  is monic and if

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_K(t)) = 2x(K)$$

holds.

Note that it is known that the set of twisted Alexander polynomials corresponding to *all* finite representations detects whether  $K$  is fibered.

# The adjoint representation

Let  $K$  be a hyperbolic knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the canonical representation.

# The adjoint representation

Let  $K$  be a hyperbolic knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the canonical representation. Then consider the *adjoint* representation

$$\alpha_{adj} : \pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

# The adjoint representation

Let  $K$  be a hyperbolic knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the canonical representation. Then consider the *adjoint* representation

$$\alpha_{adj} : \pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

This is a 3-dimensional, irreducible and faithful representation.



# The adjoint representation

Let  $K$  be a hyperbolic knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the canonical representation. Then consider the *adjoint* representation

$$\alpha_{adj} : \pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

This is a 3-dimensional, irreducible and faithful representation. In particular

$$\deg \tau(K, \alpha_{adj}) \leq \frac{1}{3} \chi(K).$$

# The adjoint representation

Let  $K$  be a hyperbolic knot and  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the canonical representation. Then consider the *adjoint* representation

$$\alpha_{adj} : \pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

This is a 3-dimensional, irreducible and faithful representation. In particular

$$\deg \tau(K, \alpha_{adj}) \leq \frac{1}{3} \chi(K).$$

For the Conway knot (which has genus 3) we compute

$$\deg \tau(\text{Conway knot}, \alpha_{adj}) = 13.$$

I.e. here the inequality is a strict inequality.

# The character variety

The character variety is defined as

$$X(K) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K), \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) // \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\text{-conjugation.}$$

# The character variety

The character variety is defined as

$$X(K) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K), \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) // \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\text{-conjugation.}$$

The Alexander polynomial defines a function

$$X(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}].$$

# The character variety

The character variety is defined as

$$X(K) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K), \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) // \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\text{-conjugation.}$$

The Alexander polynomial defines a function

$$X(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}].$$

**Theorem.**

$\{\chi \in X(K) \mid \deg(\tau(K, \chi)) = 2x(K)\}$  is Zariski open

$\{\chi \in X(K) \mid \tau(K, \chi) \text{ is monic}\}$  is Zariski closed